arXiv:math-ph/0308016v2 27 Oct 2004

Symmetry Reduction of sh-Lie Structures and of Local Functionals

Samer Al-Ashhab

Department of Mathematics, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27695-8205.
E-mail: ssalash@unity.ncsu.edu

Abstract

Reduced sh-Lie structures have been studied for the case when a Lie group acts on the fibers of a vector bundle while preserving the base space of the bundle. In this paper we investigate how one obtains a reduced sh-Lie structure using the ideas of symmetry reduction where the action of the Lie group is transversal to the fibers of the bundle. We also show how local functionals are reduced using these ideas.

Keywords: Reduction, sh-Lie algebra, jet bundle, Poisson bracket.
AMS Subject Classification; Primary: 53Z05. Secondary: 16W22.

1 Introduction

One assumes the existence of a "Poisson bracket" on the space of local functionals that have the form

$$\mathcal{P}(\phi) = \int_M (P \circ j^\infty \phi)(x) Vol_M$$

where $P : J^\infty E \to \mathbf{R}$ is a local function (which means that it is a function on some finite bundle, i.e., $P : J^p E \to \mathbf{R}$ for some finite p), $\pi : E \to M$ is a vector bundle, ϕ is a section of the bundle π and $j^\infty \phi$ is the induced section of $J^\infty E$. In other words these functionals are evaluated at sections ϕ of π.

This bracket is assumed to satisfy the Jacobi identity and so defines a Lie algebra structure on the space of local functionals $\mathcal{F}$. On the other hand there is no obvious commutative multiplication of such functionals and consequently $\mathcal{F}$ is not a Poisson algebra. This is such a well-known development that we may refer to standard monographs on the subject. In particular we call attention to [6] and [11] for classical expositions and to [7] for a quantum field theoretic development.

It was shown in [4] that a Poisson bracket on the space of local functionals induces what is known as strongly homotopy Lie structure (sh-Lie structure) on a part of the variational bicomplex which we refer to as the "de Rham complex" on $J^\infty E$. This sh-Lie structure is given by three mappings l_1, l_2, and l_3 defined on this complex. The mapping l_2 is skew-symmetric and bilinear, and it may be regarded as defining a "bracket" but one which generally fails to satisfy the Jacobi identity. In fact l_2 satisfies the Jacobi identity if $l_3 = 0$. In a sense this sh-Lie structure is an anti-derivative of the Poisson bracket. We refer the interested reader to [4], [8], and [9] for more on sh-Lie structures (also sh-Lie algebras and L_∞ algebras).

In [1] ideas related to canonical transformations of these structures were studied as well as ideas of reduction. In this paper we investigate how the ideas of symmetry reduction as in [3] can be applied to obtain a reduction of sh-Lie structures and of functionals. This reduction depends on the existence of a cochain map between a given complex and a "reduced one". In fact we can think of this work as an extension of the work done in [1], where in [1] reduction was studied when the induced action of a Lie group G on the base manifold M is the identity map. In this paper, however, we assume that the action of the Lie group G is transversal to the fibers where we consider a different kind of reduction. In a sense this work is complementary to that presented in [1].

After presenting some background material in section 2, we summarize the conditions for the *induced* transformations on the space of local functionals to be *canonical*. In section 3 we recall how these induced canonical transformations relate to the sh-Lie structure maps. We omit the proofs of the theorems in sections 2 and 3 and refer the reader to [1]. In section 4 we assume that one has a Lie group acting by canonical transformations on the space of local functionals. We then show the existence of an sh-Lie structure on a corresponding reduced complex. There is a brief discussion of reduction of functionals in section 5.

2 Bundle automorphisms preserving the Poisson structure on the space of functionals

2.1 Background material

In this section we introduce some of the terminology and concepts that are used in this paper, in addition to some of the simpler results that will be needed. Our exposition and notation closely follows that in [4]. First let $\pi : E \to M$ be a vector bundle where the base space M is an n-dimensional manifold and let $J^\infty E$ be the infinite jet bundle of E. The restriction of the infinite jet bundle over an appropriate open set $U \subset M$ is trivial with fiber an infinite dimensional vector space V^∞. The bundle

$$\pi^\infty : J^\infty E_U = U \times V^\infty \to U$$

then has induced coordinates given by

$$(x^i, u^a, u^a_i, u^a_{i_1 i_2}, \ldots,).$$

We use multi-index notation and the summation convention throughout the paper. If $j^\infty\phi$ is the section of $J^\infty E$ induced by a section ϕ of the bundle π, then $u^a \circ j^\infty\phi = u^a \circ \phi$ and

$$u^a_I \circ j^\infty\phi = (\partial_{i_1}\partial_{i_2}...\partial_{i_r})(u^a \circ j^\infty\phi)$$

where r is the order of the symmetric multi-index $I = \{i_1, i_2, ..., i_r\}$,with the convention that, for $r = 0$, there are no derivatives. For more details see [2], [10], [11], and [12].

Let Loc_E denote the algebra of local functions where a local function on $J^\infty E$ is defined to be the pull-back of a smooth real-valued function on some finite jet bundle $J^p E$ via the projection from $J^\infty E$ to $J^p E$. Let Loc^0_E denote the subalgebra of Loc_E such that $P \in Loc^0_E$ iff $(j^\infty\phi)^*P$ has compact support for all $\phi \in \Gamma E$ with compact support, and where ΓE denotes the set of sections of the bundle $\pi : E \to M$. The complex of differential forms $\Omega^*(J^\infty E, d)$ on $J^\infty E$ possesses a differential ideal, the ideal C of contact forms θ which satisfy $(j^\infty\phi)^*\theta = 0$ for all sections ϕ with compact support. This ideal is generated by the contact one-forms, which in local coordinates assume the form $\theta^a_J = du^a_J - u^a_{iJ}dx^i$. Contact one-forms of order 0 satisfy $(j^1\phi)^*(\theta) = 0$, where in local coordinates they assume the form $\theta^a = du^a - u^a_i dx^i$.

Using the contact forms, we see that the complex $\Omega^*(J^\infty E, d)$ splits as a bicomplex $\Omega^{r,s}(J^\infty E)$ (though the finite level complexes $\Omega^*(J^p E)$ do not), where $\Omega^{r,s}(J^\infty E)$ denotes the space of differential forms on $J^\infty E$ with r horizontal components and s vertical components. The bigrading is described by writing a differential p-form $\alpha = \alpha^{\mathbf{J}}_{IA}(\theta^A_{\mathbf{J}} \wedge dx^I)$ as an element of $\Omega^{r,s}(J^\infty E)$, with $p = r + s$, and

$$dx^I = dx^{i_1} \wedge ... \wedge dx^{i_r} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta^A_{\mathbf{J}} = \theta^{a_1}_{J_1} \wedge ... \wedge \theta^{a_s}_{J_s}.$$

Let C_0 denote the *set of contact one-forms of order zero*, and notice that both C_0 and $\Omega^{n,1} = \Omega^{n,1}(J^\infty E)$ are modules over Loc_E. Let $\Omega^{n,1}_0$ denote the subspace of $\Omega^{n,1}$ which is locally generated by the forms $\{(\theta^a \wedge d^n x)\}$ over Loc_E. We assume the existence of a mapping ω from $\Omega^{n,1}_0 \times \Omega^{n,1}_0$ to Loc_E, such that ω is a skew-symmetric module homomorphism in each variable separately. In local coordinates let $\omega^{ab} = \omega(\theta^a \wedge \nu, \theta^b \wedge \nu)$, where ν is a volume element on M (notice that in local coordinates ν takes the form $\nu = f d^n x = f dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge ... \wedge dx^n$ for some function $f : U \to \mathbf{R}$ where U is an open subset of M on which the x^i's are defined).

Define the operator D_i (total derivative) by $D_i = \dfrac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + u^a_{iJ}\dfrac{\partial}{\partial u^a_J}$ (note that we use the summation convention, i.e., the sum is over all a and multi-index J), and recall that the Euler-Lagrange operator maps $\Omega^{n,0}(J^\infty E)$ into $\Omega^{n,1}(J^\infty E)$ and is defined by

$$\mathbf{E}(P\nu) = \mathbf{E}_a(P)(\theta^a \wedge \nu)$$

where $P \in Loc_E, \nu$ is a volume element on the base manifold M, and the components $\mathbf{E}_a(P)$ are given by

$$\mathbf{E}_a(P) = (-D)_I(\frac{\partial P}{\partial u^a_I}).$$

For simplicity of notation we will frequently use $\mathbf{E}(P)$ for $\mathbf{E}(P\nu)$. We will also use $\tilde{D}_i$ for $\dfrac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}^i} + \tilde{u}^a_{iJ}\dfrac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{u}^a_J}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_a(P)$ for $(-\tilde{D})_I(\dfrac{\partial P}{\partial \tilde{u}^a_I})$ so that $\mathbf{E}(P) = \tilde{\mathbf{E}}_a(P)(\tilde{\theta}^a \wedge \nu)$ in the $(\tilde{x}^\mu, \tilde{u}^a)$ coordinate system.

Let $\Gamma_c E$ be the subspace of ΓE such that $\phi \in \Gamma_c E$ iff ϕ is of compact support, and let $\Omega^{k,0}_c(J^\infty E)$ be the subspace of $\Omega^{k,0}(J^\infty E)$, for $k \neq n$, such that $\alpha \in \Omega^{k,0}_c(J^\infty E)$ iff $(j^\infty \phi)^* \alpha$ has compact support for all $\phi \in \Gamma_c E$. Let

$\Omega_c^{n,0}(J^\infty E)$ be the subspace of $\Omega^{n,0}(J^\infty E)$ such that $P\nu \in \Omega_c^{n,0}(J^\infty E)$ iff $(j^\infty\phi)^*(P\nu)$ and $(j^\infty\phi)^*\mathbf{E}_a(P)$ have compact support for all $\phi \in \Gamma_c E$ and for all a. We are interested in the "de Rham complex"

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_c^{0,0}(J^\infty E) \rightarrow \Omega_c^{1,0}(J^\infty E) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \Omega_c^{n-1,0}(J^\infty E) \rightarrow \Omega_c^{n,0}(J^\infty E)$$

with the differential d_H defined by $d_H = dx^i D_i$, i.e., if $\alpha = \alpha_I dx^I$ then $d_H\alpha = D_i\alpha_I dx^i \wedge dx^I$. We assume that this complex is *exact* which may require the vector bundle $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ to be trivial (also see [2], [5], and [12]).

Now let $\mathcal{F}$ be the space of local functionals where $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{F}$ iff $\mathcal{P} = \int_M P\nu$ for some $P \in Loc_E^0$, and define a Poisson bracket on $\mathcal{F}$ by

$$\{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}\}(\phi) = \int_M [\omega(\mathbf{E}(P), \mathbf{E}(Q)) \circ j^\infty\phi]\nu,$$

where $\phi \in \Gamma_c E$, ν is a volume on $M, \mathcal{P} = \int_M P\nu, \mathcal{Q} = \int_M Q\nu$, and $P, Q \in Loc_E^0$. Using local coordinates (x^μ, u_I^a) on $J^\infty E$, observe that for $\phi \in \Gamma E$ such that the support of ϕ lies in the domain Ω of some chart x of M, one has

$$\{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}\}(\phi) = \int_{x(\Omega)} ([\omega^{ab}\mathbf{E}_a(P)\mathbf{E}_b(Q)] \circ j^\infty\phi \circ x^{-1})(x^{-1})^*(\nu)$$

where x^{-1} is the inverse of $x = (x^\mu)$.

We assume that ω satisfies the necessary conditions for the above bracket to satisfy the Jacobi identity, e.g. see [11] section 7.1.

The functions P and Q in our definition of the Poisson bracket of local functionals are representatives of $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ respectively, since generally these are not unique. In fact $\mathcal{F} \simeq H_c^n(J^\infty E)$, where $H_c^n(J^\infty E) = \Omega_c^{n,0}(J^\infty E)/(\mathrm{im} d_H \bigcap \Omega_c^{n,0}(J^\infty E))$ and $\mathrm{im} d_H$ is the image of the differential d_H.

Let $\psi : E \rightarrow E$ be an automorphism, sending fibers to fibers, and let $\psi_M : M \rightarrow M$ be the induced diffeomorphism of M. Notice that ψ induces an automorphism $j^\infty\psi : J^\infty E \rightarrow J^\infty E$ where

$$(j^\infty\psi)((j^\infty\phi)(p)) = j^\infty(\psi \circ \phi \circ \psi_M^{-1})(\psi_M(p)),$$

for all $\phi \in \Gamma E$ and all p in the domain of ϕ. Local coordinate representatives of ψ_M and $j^\infty\psi$ may be described in terms of charts (Ω, x) and $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{x})$ of M, and induced charts $((\pi^\infty)^{-1}(\Omega), (x^\mu, u^a))$ and $((\pi^\infty)^{-1}(\tilde{\Omega}), (\tilde{x}^\mu, \tilde{u}^a))$ of $J^\infty E$. In these coordinates the independent variables transform via $\tilde{x}^\mu = \psi_M^\mu(x^\nu)$.

Remark In section 4 we will consider (left) Lie group actions on E and their induced (left) actions on $J^\infty E$. Such actions are defined by homomorphisms from the group into the group of automorphisms of E.

Definition $\omega : \Omega_0^{n,1} \times \Omega_0^{n,1} \rightarrow Loc_E$ is *covariant* with respect to an automorphism $\psi : E \rightarrow E$ of the above form iff

$$\omega((j^\infty \psi)^* \theta, (j^\infty \psi)^* \theta') = (\det \psi_M)(j^\infty \psi)^*(\omega(\theta, \theta')),$$

for all $\theta, \theta' \in \Omega_0^{n,1}(J^\infty E)$.

2.2 Automorphisms preserving the Poisson structure

Let $L : J^\infty E \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \in Loc_E$ be a Lagrangian where generally we will assume that any element of Loc_E is a Lagrangian. Let $\hat{\psi}$ denote the mapping representing the action of the automorphism on sections of π, i.e. $\hat{\psi} : \Gamma E \rightarrow \Gamma E$ where $\hat{\psi}(\phi) = \psi \circ \phi \circ \psi_M^{-1}$ and ϕ is a section of π. This induces a mapping on the space of local functionals given by

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{P} \circ \hat{\psi})(\phi) &= \mathcal{P}(\psi \circ \phi \circ \psi_M^{-1}) \\ &= \int_M [P \circ j^\infty(\psi \circ \phi \circ \psi_M^{-1})]\nu \\ &= \int_M [P \circ j^\infty \psi \circ j^\infty \phi \circ \psi_M^{-1})]\nu \\ &= \int_M [P \circ j^\infty \psi \circ j^\infty \phi](\det \psi_M)\nu, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{P}(\phi) = \int_M (P \circ j^\infty \phi)\nu,$$

and ϕ is a section of π. We quote the following from [1].

Theorem 2.1 *Let $\psi : E \rightarrow E$ be an automorphism of E sending fibers to fibers, and let $\Psi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ be the induced mapping defined by $\Psi(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{P} \circ \hat{\psi}$ where $\hat{\psi} : \Gamma E \rightarrow \Gamma E$ is given by $\hat{\psi}(\phi) = \psi \circ \phi \circ \psi_M^{-1}$. Then Ψ is canonical in the sense that*

$$\{\Psi(\mathcal{P}), \Psi(\mathcal{Q})\} = \Psi(\{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}\}),$$

for all $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q} \in \mathcal{F}$ iff ω is covariant with respect to ψ.

3 Canonical automorphisms and sh-Lie algebras

In this section we consider the structure maps of the sh-Lie algebra on the horizontal complex $\{\Omega^{i,0}(J^\infty E)\}$ where we assume that the vector bundle E is *trivial.* A detailed study of sh-Lie algebras can be found in [4] or one of the references therein; however it is useful to give a brief overview.

3.1 Overview of sh-Lie algebras

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a vector space and (X_*, l_1) a homological resolution thereof, i.e., X_* is a graded vector space, l_1 is a differential and lowers the grading by one with $\mathcal{F} \simeq H_0(l_1)$ and $H_k(l_1) = 0$ for $k > 0$. The complex (X_*, l_1) is called the resolution space. (We are *not* using the term 'resolution' in a categorical sense.) Consider a homological resolution of the space $\mathcal{F}$ of local functionals as in [4]. In the field theoretic framework considered in [4] it was shown that under certain hypothesis (see the Theorem below) the Lie structure defined by the Poisson bracket on $\mathcal{F}$ induces an sh-Lie structure on the graded vector space $X_i = \Omega^{n-i,0}(J^\infty E)$, for $0 \leq i \leq n$. For completeness we give the definition of sh-Lie algebras and include a statement of the relevant theorem.

Definition An sh-Lie structure on a graded vector space X_* is a collection of linear, skew-symmetric maps $l_k : \bigotimes^k X_* \to X_*$ of degree $k-2$ that satisfy the relation

$$\sum_{i+j=n+1} \sum_{unsh(i,n-i)} e(\sigma)(-1)^{\sigma}(-1)^{i(j-1)} l_j(l_i(x_{\sigma(1)}, \cdots, x_{\sigma(i)}), \cdots, x_{\sigma(n)}) = 0,$$

where $1 \leq i, j$.

Notice that in this definition $e(\sigma)$ is the Koszul sign which depends on the permutation σ as well as on the degree of the elements $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n$ (a minus sign is introduced whenever two consecutive odd elements are permuted, see for example [8]).

Remark Although this may seem to be a rather complicated structure, it simplifies drastically in the case of field theory where, aside from the differential $l_1 = d_H$, the only non-zero maps are l_2 and l_3 in degree 0.

The Theorem relevant to field theory depends on the existence of a linear skew-symmetric map $\tilde{l}_2 : X_0 \otimes X_0 \to X_0$ (in our case the Poisson bracket will be the integral of this mapping as we will see in detail shortly) satisfying conditions (i) and (ii) below. These conditions are all that is needed in order that an sh-Lie structure exists.

Theorem 3.1 *A skew-symmetric linear map* $\tilde{l}_2 : X_0 \otimes X_0 \to X_0$ *that satisfies conditions (i) and (ii) below extends to an sh-Lie structure on the resolution space* X_**;*
$(i) \quad \tilde{l}_2(c, b_1) = b_2$
$(ii) \quad \sum_{\sigma \in unsh(2,1)} (-1)^\sigma \tilde{l}_2(\tilde{l}_2(c_{\sigma(1)}, c_{\sigma(2)}), c_{\sigma(3)}) = b_3$
where c, c_1, c_2, c_3 *are cycles and* b_1, b_2, b_3 *are boundaries in* X_0*.*

3.2 The effect of a canonical automorphism on the structure maps of the sh-Lie algebra

We find it convenient here to quote the main result from [1]. First define $\tilde{l}_2$ on $\Omega^{n,0} \otimes \Omega^{n,0}$ by

$$\tilde{l}_2(P\nu, Q\nu) = \omega^{ab}\mathbf{E}_b(Q)\mathbf{E}_a(P)\nu = \omega(\mathbf{E}(P), \mathbf{E}(Q))\nu. \tag{3.1}$$

We have

Theorem 3.2 *Let* $\psi : E \to E$ *be an automorphism of* E *sending fibers to fibers and recall that* $j^\infty\psi$ *is the induced automorphism on* $J^\infty E$*. We have*

$$\tilde{l}_2((j^\infty\psi)^*\alpha, (j^\infty\psi)^*\beta) = (j^\infty\psi)^*(\tilde{l}_2(\alpha, \beta))$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega^{n,0}(J^\infty E)$ *iff* ω *is covariant with respect to* ψ*. Moreover*

$$l_3((j^\infty\psi)^*\alpha, (j^\infty\psi)^*\beta, (j^\infty\psi)^*\gamma) = (j^\infty\psi)^* l_3(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) + l_1(\delta),$$

for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Omega^{n,0}(J^\infty E)$*, and for some* $\delta \in \Omega^{n-2,0}(J^\infty E)$*.*

Now we are ready to consider the symmetry reduction ideas and apply them to define an (induced) sh-Lie structure on a reduced complex.

4 Symmetry reduction of the graded vector space

Let $\pi : E \to M$ be a vector bundle and let $J^\infty E$ be the infinite jet bundle of E as before. Suppose that G is a Lie group acting on E via automorphisms (as in section 2) and hence inducing an action of G on $J^\infty E$. We assume the induced action $\hat{\psi}_g$ on ΓE is canonical with respect to the Poisson bracket of local functionals for all $g \in G$. Notice that G acts via canonical tranformations on the space of local functionals if and only if for every $j^\infty \psi_g$

$$\tilde{l}_2((j^\infty \psi_g)^* f_1, (j^\infty \psi_g)^* f_2) = (j^\infty \psi_g)^* (\tilde{l}_2(f_1, f_2)),$$

where $\tilde{l}_2$ is defined on the vector space $\Omega^{n,0}(J^\infty E)$ as in the previous section (in fact $\tilde{l}_2(f_1, f_2) = \dfrac{1}{2}[\omega(\mathbf{E}(f_1), \mathbf{E}(f_2)) - \omega(\mathbf{E}(f_2), \mathbf{E}(f_1))]$, see also equation 3.1).

We use the ideas of symmetry reduction as in [3] which lead to a cochain map between cochain complexes. Specifically we find a cochain map between the variational bicomplexes of $J^\infty E$ and $J^\infty \overline{E}$ where $\overline{E} = E/G$ and G is the Lie group acting on E. After that we show how one gets an sh-Lie structure on a reduced graded vector space (complex).

4.1 Symmetry reduction

We begin with an introduction of the basic ideas of symmetry reduction and refer the reader to [3] for more details. Suppose that G is a p-dimensional Lie group acting on E and inducing an action on M. Also suppose that the dimension of M is n and that of E is $n + m$. We assume that G acts transversally to the fibers of E, and that it acts projectably on $\pi : E \to M$, and regularly and effectively on both E and M with orbits of dimension $q < n$. It follows that the quotient spaces $\overline{E} = E/G$ and $\overline{M} = M/G$ are smooth manifolds of dimensions $n + m - q$ and $n - q$ respectively, and that the following diagram commutes with all smooth maps.

$$\begin{tikzcd} E \arrow[r, "\pi_{\overline{E}}"] \arrow[d, "\pi"'] & \overline{E} \arrow[d, "\overline{\pi}"] \\ M \arrow[r, "\pi_{\overline{M}}"] & \overline{M} \end{tikzcd}$$

On E one uses local coordinates (x^i, u^a) and local coordinates $(\hat{x}^i, y^r, v^\alpha)$ adapted to G such that the locally G-invariant sections (as defined below) of $\pi : E \to M$ are given by $v^\alpha = f(y^r)$. So, if for example, $G = SO(3)$ acts on $M = \mathbf{R}^3 - \{0\}$ and $E = M \times \mathbf{R}^2$ then (x, y, z, u^1, u^2) are coordinates on E while

$$\hat{x} = x \quad \hat{y} = y \quad r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2} \quad v^1 = u^1 \quad v^2 = u^2$$

are coordinates on an open subset of E adapted to G where the last three, namely (r, v^1, v^2), can serve as coordinates on $\overline{E}$.

Let $J^\infty \overline{E}$ denote the infinite jet bundle of $\overline{E}$, and let $\Omega^{r,s}_{\mathrm{pr}G}(J^\infty E)$ denote the subspace of $\Omega^{r,s}(J^\infty E)$ that consists of the forms that are invariant under the prolonged action of G.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ denote the Lie algebra of G, and let Γ denote the vector space of infinitesimal generators of the action of G on E. A differential form γ on $J^\infty E$ is an invariant of the action if $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{pr}X}\gamma = 0$ for all $X \in \Gamma$, and where $\mathrm{pr}X$ is the prolongation of X to $J^\infty E$.

A vector X_σ at $\sigma = j^\infty(s)(p) \in J^\infty E$, where s is a local section of the bundle $\pi : E \to M$, is called a total vector at σ if

$$X_\sigma(f) = [(\pi^\infty_M)_*(X_\sigma)](f \circ j^\infty(s))$$

for all $f : U \to \mathbf{R}$ where U is any subset of $J^\infty E$ containing σ, and where $\pi^\infty_M : J^\infty E \to M$ is the canonical projection. We denote the space of all total vector fields on $J^\infty E$ by $\mathrm{Tot}(J^\infty E)$. If X is a vector field on M then $\mathrm{tot}X$ denotes the extension of X into a total vector field on $J^\infty E$. In local coordinates total vectors are spanned by the total derivatives $D_i = \dfrac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + u^a_{iJ}\dfrac{\partial}{\partial u^a_J}, i = 1, 2, \cdots, n.$

A section s of $\pi : E \to M$ (here we use s for sections rather than ϕ which was used earlier in the paper) is locally G-invariant if for all $g \in G$ sufficiently close to the identity $g \cdot [s(g^{-1} \cdot p)] = s(p)$. The jet space of G-invariant local sections of E is the bundle $\mathrm{Inv}^\infty_G(E) \to M$ defined by

$$\mathrm{Inv}^\infty_G(E) = \{\sigma \in J^\infty E | \sigma = j^\infty(s)(p), \quad s \text{ is a locally } G\text{-invariant section of } E\}.$$

In local coordinates $(\hat{x}^i, y^r, v^\alpha)$ of E adapted to G the locally G-invariant sections of $\pi : E \to M$ are given by $v^\alpha = f(y^r)$ and therefore

$$\mathrm{Inv}^\infty_G(E) = \{\sigma = (\hat{x}^i, y^r, v^\alpha, v^\alpha_i, v^\alpha_r, v^\alpha_{ij}, v^\alpha_{ir}, v^\alpha_{rs}, \cdots) | v^\alpha_i = 0, v^\alpha_{ij} = 0, v^\alpha_{ir} = 0, \cdots\}.$$

If $s : M \to E$ is a G-invariant local section then there exists a unique local section of $\overline{\pi}$ such that

$$\overline{s}(\pi_{\overline{M}}(p)) = \pi_{\overline{E}}(s(p)). \tag{4.2}$$

This correspondence between G-invariant local sections of π and local sections of $\overline{\pi}$ induces a projection map $\Pi : \mathrm{Inv}^{\infty}_G(E) \to J^{\infty}\overline{E}$ defined by $\Pi(j^{\infty}(s)(p)) = j^{\infty}(\overline{s})(\pi_{\overline{M}}(p))$, see [3].

One can describe the correspondence between G-invariant objects on $\pi : E \to M$ and the associated objects on $\overline{\pi} : \overline{E} \to \overline{M}$. If $s : M \to E$ is G-invariant then we define $\overline{s} = \varrho(s)$ to be the unique section of $\overline{\pi}$ satisfying 4.2.

A G-invariant form α on E satisfying $X \lrcorner\ \alpha = 0$ for all X in Γ is said to be G-*basic.* If α is G-basic then there exists a unique form $\overline{\alpha} = \varrho(\alpha)$ on $\overline{E}$ such that

$$\alpha = (\pi_{\overline{E}})^*(\overline{\alpha}).$$

If $f : J^{\infty}E \to \mathbf{R}$ is a G-invariant function then there is a unique function $\overline{f} : J^{\infty}\overline{E} \to \mathbf{R}$ satisfying $\overline{f}(\overline{\sigma}) = f(\sigma)$ where $\overline{\sigma} \in J^{\infty}\overline{E}, \sigma \in \mathrm{Inv}^{\infty}_G(E)$ and $\Pi(\sigma) = \overline{\sigma}$. We let $\varrho(f) = \overline{f}$. More generally if $\alpha \in \Omega^{r,s}_{\mathrm{pr}G}(J^{\infty}E)$ and $\mathrm{tot}X \lrcorner\ \alpha = 0$ for all X in Γ, then there is a unique $\overline{\alpha} \in \Omega^{r,s}(J^{\infty}\overline{E})$ such that

$$\imath^*(\alpha) = \Pi^*(\overline{\alpha})$$

where $\imath : \mathrm{Inv}^{\infty}_G(E) \to J^{\infty}E$ is the canonical inclusion (see [3]). We let $\varrho(\alpha) = \overline{\alpha}$.

Example Suppose that $f : J^{\infty}E \to \mathbf{R}$ is a G-invariant function expressed in local coordinates adapted to G by $f(\hat{x}^i, y^r, v^{\alpha}, v^{\alpha}_i, v^{\alpha}_r, v^{\alpha}_{ij}, v^{\alpha}_{ir}, v^{\alpha}_{rs}, \cdots)$ then

$$\varrho(f)(y^r, v^{\alpha}, v^{\alpha}_r, v^{\alpha}_{rs}, \cdots) = f(\hat{x}^i, y^r, v^{\alpha}, 0, v^{\alpha}_r, 0, 0, v^{\alpha}_{rs}, \cdots).$$

As an illustration suppose that $G = SO(3)$ acts on $M = \mathbf{R}^3 - \{0\}$ and that $E = M \times \mathbf{R}$. Let $f = u_{xx} + u_{yy} + u_{zz}$. Using local coordinates (x, y, z, u) on E and local coordinates adapted to G

$$\hat{x} = x \quad \hat{y} = y \quad r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2} \quad v = u,$$

one calculates (by the chain rule) $u_x = u_{\hat{x}} + \dfrac{x}{r}u_r$, $u_y = u_{\hat{y}} + \dfrac{y}{r}u_r$, and

$$u_{xx} = v_{\hat{x}\hat{x}} + \frac{x}{r}v_{\hat{x}r} + \frac{x}{r}(v_{r\hat{x}} + \frac{x}{r}v_{rr}) + v_r\frac{r^2 - x^2}{r^3},$$

$$u_{yy} = v_{\hat{y}\hat{y}} + \frac{y}{r}v_{\hat{y}r} + \frac{y}{r}(v_{r\hat{y}} + \frac{y}{r}v_{rr}) + v_r\frac{r^2-y^2}{r^3},$$
$$u_{zz} = \frac{z^2}{r^2}v_{rr} + \frac{r^2-z^2}{r^3}v_r.$$

Now set $v_{\hat{x}\hat{x}} = v_{\hat{x}r} = v_{r\hat{x}} = 0$ and $v_{\hat{y}\hat{y}} = v_{\hat{y}r} = v_{r\hat{y}} = 0$ to get $\boxed{\frac{x^2}{r^2}v_{rr} + \frac{r^2-x^2}{r^3}v_r}$ for u_{xx} and $\boxed{\frac{y^2}{r^2}v_{rr} + \frac{r^2-y^2}{r^3}v_r}$ for u_{yy} so that $\varrho(f) = v_{rr} + \frac{2}{r}v_r$. $\blacksquare$

Example Let $\alpha \in \Omega^{r,0}_{\mathrm{pr}G}(J^\infty E)$ be G-invariant and suppose that $\mathrm{tot}X \lrcorner\ \alpha = 0$ for all X in Γ then α can be expressed in local coordinates adapted to G as $\alpha = A_{i_1 i_2\cdots i_r}(dy^{i_1} \wedge dy^{i_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge dy^{i_r})$ where the $A_{i_1 i_2\cdots i_r}$'s are G-invariant, and $\varrho(\alpha) = \varrho(A_{i_1 i_2\cdots i_r})(dy^{i_1} \wedge dy^{i_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge dy^{i_r})$. $\blacksquare$

Finally recall that a q multi-vector on M is an alternating tensor of type $(q, 0)$. Let Γ_M be a Lie algebra of vector fields on M, then a *q-chain* $\mathcal{X}$ on Γ_M is a (non-zero) q multi-vector that can be expressed as $\mathcal{X} = J(X_1 \wedge X_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge X_q)$, where J is a function on M and $X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_q \in \Gamma_M$.

Now we show how a cochain map between the cochain "de Rham complexes" of E and $\overline{E}$ may be defined. Recall that Γ denotes the *vector space of infinitesimal generators* of the action of G on E. One assumes the existence of a G-invariant q-chain on Tot Γ

$$\mathcal{X} = J(\mathrm{tot}X_1 \wedge \mathrm{tot}X_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{tot}X_q),$$

where J is a function on $J^\infty E$ and $X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_q \in \Gamma$, such that the map $\varrho_{\mathcal{X}} : \Omega^{r,s}_{\mathrm{pr}G}(J^\infty E) \to \Omega^{r-q,s}(J^\infty \overline{E})$ defined by

$$\varrho_{\mathcal{X}}(\gamma) = (-1)^{q(r+s)}\varrho(\mathcal{X} \lrcorner\ \gamma)$$

is a d_H cochain map between cochain complexes, and such that

$$\mathbf{E}(\varrho_{\mathcal{X}}\delta) = \varrho_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathbf{E}(\delta))$$

for all $\delta \in \Omega^{n,0}_{\mathrm{pr}G}(J^\infty E)$. In [3] it was proved that if the action of G on E is free and G is unimodular (i.e. $\sum_{a=1}^{q} C^a_{ia} = 0$ where the C^a_{bc}'s are the structure constants of $\mathfrak{g}$) then the existence of the G-invariant q-chain follows. In fact in [3] the existence of such q-chains is studied in detail. Notice that the map $\varrho_{\mathcal{X}}$ is onto but generally *not* one-to-one.

Remark The above correspondence ϱ can be restricted to compact-support subcomplexes as defined earlier in this paper, in particular to the first row of such subcomplexes (the row consisting of purely horizontal forms). If $s : M \to E$ is a G-invariant section of compact support then $\overline{s} = \varrho(s) : \overline{M} \to \overline{E}$ is of compact support. If $\alpha \in \Omega^{r,0}_{\mathrm{pr}G}(J^\infty E)$ satisfies $\mathrm{tot}X \lrcorner\ \alpha = 0$ for all X in Γ, and $(j^\infty s)^*(\alpha)$ is of compact support for all sections $s : M \to E$ of compact support then for (the unique form) $\overline{\alpha} = \varrho(\alpha) \in \Omega^{r,0}(J^\infty \overline{E})$ we have: $(j^\infty \overline{s})^*(\overline{\alpha})$ is of compact support for all sections $\overline{s} : \overline{M} \to \overline{E}$ of compact support, ...etc.

Henceforth we will work with the compact-support subcomplexes that consist of purely horizontal forms, where $\varrho_{\mathcal{X}}$ serves as a d_H cochain map between these subcomplexes (though some of our conclusions apply to the more general complexes).

4.2 sh-Lie structure on the reduced graded vector space

We assume that $(\Omega^{*,0}_c(J^\infty E), d_H)$ and $(\Omega^{*,0}_c(J^\infty \overline{E}), d_H)$ are exact. The map $\tilde{l}_2$ on $\Omega^{n,0}_c(J^\infty E)$ induces a map $\hat{l}_2$ on $\Omega^{n-q,0}_c(J^\infty \overline{E})$ as follows. Define $\hat{l}_2 : \Omega^{n-q,0}_c(J^\infty \overline{E}) \otimes \Omega^{n-q,0}_c(J^\infty \overline{E}) \to \Omega^{n-q,0}_c(J^\infty \overline{E})$ by

$$\hat{l}_2(\varrho_{\mathcal{X}}\alpha, \varrho_{\mathcal{X}}\beta) = \varrho_{\mathcal{X}}(\tilde{l}_2(\alpha, \beta))$$

for all G-invariant α, β in $\Omega^{n,0}_c(J^\infty E)$. Now the question is: Is the map $\hat{l}_2$ well-defined?

First recall that the cochain map $\varrho_{\mathcal{X}}$ is onto. Now assume that ω is covariant with respect to the group action of G (i.e. covariant with respect to ψ_g for all $g \in G$ as in section 3.1) so that by Theorem 3.2 it follows that if α and β are in $\Omega^{n,0}_{\mathrm{pr}G}(J^\infty E)$ then so is $\tilde{l}_2(\alpha, \beta)$. Finally we assume that if $\varrho_{\mathcal{X}}\alpha = \varrho_{\mathcal{X}}\alpha'$ for any $\alpha, \alpha' \in \Omega^{n,0}_{\mathrm{pr}G}(J^\infty E)$ then $\hat{l}_2(\varrho_{\mathcal{X}}\alpha, \varrho_{\mathcal{X}}\beta) - \hat{l}_2(\varrho_{\mathcal{X}}\alpha', \varrho_{\mathcal{X}}\beta) = \varrho_{\mathcal{X}}\tilde{l}_2(\alpha - \alpha', \beta) = \varrho_{\mathcal{X}}[\omega(\mathbf{E}(\alpha - \alpha'), \mathbf{E}(\beta))\nu] = 0$, so that $\hat{l}_2$ is *well-defined.*

Observe that if the volume form ν is G-invariant then $\hat{l}_2$ is given by

$$\hat{l}_2(\varrho_{\mathcal{X}}\alpha, \varrho_{\mathcal{X}}\beta) = \overline{\omega}(\mathbf{E}(\varrho_{\mathcal{X}}\alpha), \mathbf{E}(\varrho_{\mathcal{X}}\beta))\overline{\nu}$$

where $\overline{\omega} : \Omega_0^{n-q,1}(J^\infty \overline{E}) \times \Omega_0^{n-q,1}(J^\infty \overline{E}) \to Loc_{\overline{E}}$ is defined by

$$\overline{\omega}(\varrho_{\mathcal{X}}\gamma, \varrho_{\mathcal{X}}\delta) := \varrho(\omega(\gamma, \delta))$$

for γ, δ that lie in the image of $\mathbf{E}$ in $\Omega^{n,1}_{\mathrm{pr}G}(J^\infty E)$. Thus $\overline{\omega}(\mathbf{E}(\varrho_{\mathcal{X}}\alpha), \mathbf{E}(\varrho_{\mathcal{X}}\beta)) = \overline{\omega}(\varrho_{\mathcal{X}}\mathbf{E}(\alpha), \varrho_{\mathcal{X}}\mathbf{E}(\beta)) = \varrho[\omega(\mathbf{E}(\alpha), \mathbf{E}(\beta))]$. Here $\overline{\nu}$ satisfies $(\overline{\pi}^\infty_M)^*\overline{\nu} = \varrho_{\mathcal{X}}(\pi^\infty_M)^*\nu$ where $\overline{\pi}^\infty_M : J^\infty \overline{E} \to \overline{M}$ and $\pi^\infty_M : J^\infty E \to M$ are the usual projections of the corresponding jet bundles.

Skew-symmetry and linearity of $\hat{l}_2$ follow easily from the skew-symmetry and linearity of $\tilde{l}_2$ in addition to the linearity of $\varrho_{\mathcal{X}}$. Furthermore $\hat{l}_2$ satisfies

$$\begin{array}{ll} (i) & \hat{l}_2(d_H k_1, h) = d_H k_2, \\ (ii) & \displaystyle\sum_{\sigma \in unsh(2,1)} (-1)^\sigma \hat{l}_2(\hat{l}_2(f_{\sigma(1)}, f_{\sigma(2)}), f_{\sigma(3)}) = d_H k_3, \end{array}$$

for all $k_1 \in \Omega_c^{n-q-1,0}(J^\infty \overline{E}), h, f_1, f_2, f_3 \in \Omega_c^{n-q,0}(J^\infty \overline{E})$ and for some $k_2, k_3 \in \Omega_c^{n-q-1,0}(J^\infty \overline{E})$. *Subsequently we will suppress some of the notation and assume the summands are over the appropriate shuffles with their corresponding signs.*

Notice that (i) follows in the strong sense $\hat{l}_2(d_H k_1, h) = 0$ since $\mathbf{E}(d_H k_1) = 0$. While to verify (ii), let $f_i = \varrho_{\mathcal{X}} F_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, and where $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in \Omega_c^{n-q,0}(J^\infty \overline{E})$ are arbitrary, and notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_\sigma \hat{l}_2(\hat{l}_2(f_{\sigma(1)}, f_{\sigma(2)}), f_{\sigma(3)}) &= \varrho_{\mathcal{X}} \sum_\sigma \tilde{l}_2(\tilde{l}_2(F_{\sigma(1)}, F_{\sigma(2)}), F_{\sigma(3)}) \\ &= \varrho_{\mathcal{X}}(d_H K_2) \\ &= d_H(\varrho_{\mathcal{X}} K_2), \end{aligned}$$

since $\varrho_{\mathcal{X}}$ is a d_H cochain map and where the sum is over the unshuffles (2,1), and for some $K_2 \in \Omega^{n-1,0}_{\mathrm{pr}G}(J^\infty E)$. We have shown:

Theorem 4.1 *The skew-symmetric linear map $\hat{l}_2$ as defined above on the space $\Omega_c^{n-q,0}(J^\infty \overline{E})$ extends to an sh-Lie structure on the exact graded space $(\Omega_c^{*,0}(J^\infty \overline{E}), d_H)$.*

We have also shown (see lemmas 1 and 2 in [4])

Theorem 4.2 *There exists a skew-symmetric bilinear bracket on $\overline{H}_0 \times \overline{H}_0$, where we use $\overline{H}_0$ for $H^{n-q}(\Omega_c^{*,0}(J^\infty \overline{E}), d_H)$, that satisfies the Jacobi identity. This bracket is induced by the map $\hat{l}_2$.*

In fact, if ν is G-invariant with $\varrho_{\mathcal{X}}(\pi_M^* \nu) = \overline{\pi}_M^* \overline{\nu}$ then this bracket can be identified with $\{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}\} = \int_{\overline{M}} \overline{\omega}(\mathbf{E}(P), \mathbf{E}(Q))\overline{\nu}$, where $\mathcal{P} = \int_{\overline{M}} P\overline{\nu}$ and $\mathcal{Q} = \int_{\overline{M}} Q\overline{\nu}$ represent arbitrary elements of $\overline{H}_0$, and $P, Q \in Loc^0_{\overline{E}}$.

Example Consider $M = \mathbf{R}^3 - \{0\}, E = M \times \mathbf{R}^2$, and let

$$\omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & r \\ -r & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$ and x, y, z are cartesian coordinates on M. Recall that ω defines the map $\tilde{l}_2$. Now let $G = SO(3)$ act on E by rotations of the base manifold M. The Lie algebra of the action has generators

$$X_1 = x\partial y - y\partial x, \quad X_2 = y\partial z - z\partial y, \quad X_3 = z\partial x - x\partial z.$$

Observe that ω is covariant with respect to G. In fact the components of ω are G-invariant in this case. Now notice that $\mathcal{X} = \frac{r}{y}(\mathrm{tot}X_1 \wedge \mathrm{tot}X_2)$ is a G-invariant 2-chain, and that $\varrho_{\mathcal{X}}(dx \wedge dy \wedge dz) = r^2 dr$, where $\nu = dx \wedge dy \wedge dz$ is G-invariant and $\overline{\nu} = r^2 dr$. We conclude that there exists of a reduced sh-Lie structure. Now suppose that

$$P = u^1(u^2_{xx} + u^2_{yy} + u^2_{zz}) \quad \text{and} \quad Q = u^1 u^2,$$

then

$$\tilde{l}_2(P\nu, Q\nu) = r[(u^2_{xx} + u^2_{yy} + u^2_{zz})u^1 - (u^1_{xx} + u^1_{yy} + u^1_{zz})u^2]\nu,$$

while

$$\varrho_{\mathcal{X}}(P\nu) = u^1(u^2_{rr} + \frac{1}{r}u^2_r)\overline{\nu} \quad , \quad \varrho_{\mathcal{X}}(Q\nu) = u^1 u^2 \overline{\nu},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{l}_2(\varrho_{\mathcal{X}}(P\nu), \varrho_{\mathcal{X}}(Q\nu)) &= r[(u^2_{rr} + \frac{2}{r}u^2_r)u^1 - (u^1_{rr} + \frac{2}{r}u^1_r)u^2]\overline{\nu} \\ &= [(ru^2_{rr} + 2u^2_r)u^1 - (ru^1_{rr} + 2u^1_r)u^2]\overline{\nu} \end{aligned}$$

where we have used $\hat{l}_2(\varrho_{\mathcal{X}}(P\nu), \varrho_{\mathcal{X}}(Q\nu)) = \varrho_{\mathcal{X}}(\tilde{l}_2(P\nu, Q\nu))$ which was defined earlier.

Now notice that if for example one has

$$\int_M \tilde{l}_2(P\nu, Q\nu) = \int_{\mathbf{R^3}} r[(u^2_{xx} + u^2_{yy} + u^2_{zz})u^1 - (u^1_{xx} + u^1_{yy} + u^1_{zz})u^2]dx \wedge dy \wedge dz$$

and one is interested in sections that are G-invariant, i.e., sections that depend only on r, then the above integral reduces to

$$4\pi \int_0^\infty r^3[(u^2_{rr} + \frac{2}{r}u^2_r)u^1 - (u^1_{rr} + \frac{2}{r}u^1_r)u^2]dr = 4\pi \int_{\overline{M}} \varrho_{\mathcal{X}} \tilde{l}_2(P\nu, Q\nu),$$

where notice that the 4π is obtained by integrating out the variables which the fields/sections do not depend on.

More generally, if $P\nu$ is G-invariant and one has $\int_{\mathbf{R^3}} P\nu$ then for sections that are G-invariant this integral reduces to $4\pi \int_0^\infty \varrho(P)\overline{\nu}$. ▮

5 Reduction of local functionals

As we saw in the previous section the map $\varrho_{\mathcal{X}}$ defines a correspondence between functionals on $J^\infty E$ and functionals on $J^\infty \overline{E}$. This correspondence is "natural" when one is interested in G-invariant sections. Given the functional

$$\int_M P\nu$$

on $J^\infty E$ where $P\nu$ is a G-invariant horizontal n-form, its *reduced functional* is the functional on $J^\infty \overline{E}$ given by

$$\int_{\overline{M}} \varrho_{\mathcal{X}}(P\nu).$$

Notice that if the action of G does not have a vertical component along the fibers of $\pi : E \to M$, while ϕ is a G-invariant local section of π with support in Ω, $\overline{\phi}$ is the section of $\overline{\pi} : \overline{E} \to \overline{M}$ corresponding to ϕ, then

$$\int_\Omega (j^\infty \phi)^* P\nu = V \int_{\overline{\Omega}} (j^\infty \overline{\phi})^* \varrho_{\mathcal{X}}(P\nu)$$

where $\overline{\Omega} = \pi_{\overline{M}}(\Omega)$, and V is obtained when integrating out the variables which ϕ does not depend on (from the left-hand side integral).

Example Consider the Euler equations

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} = -\nabla p \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0,$$

where $\mathbf{u} = (u, v, w)$ and p are the dependent variables while $\mathbf{x} = (x, y, z)$ and t are the independent variables. It is known that the $SO(3)$ invariant solutions are given by $\mathbf{u} = (a(t)/r^3)\mathbf{x}$ where $a(t)$ is a function of t, while the energy is given by the functional $\mathcal{E}(t) = \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega |\mathbf{u}|^2 d\mathbf{x}$ where Ω is the region of $\mathbf{R}^3$ over which the solution is defined. For an $SO(3)$ invariant solution, Ω is a spherical region and, the energy reduces to $2\pi \int_{\overline{\Omega}} (a(t)^2/r^2)dr$ where $\overline{\Omega}$ is the subset of $\mathbf{R}$ corresponding to Ω via the action of $SO(3)$.

Remark In [1] reduction of local functionals and sh-Lie structures was studied for the case when the Lie group G acts only on the fibers, i.e., when the induced action on the base manifold M is just the *identity map.* In the current paper we assumed that the action is *transversal* to the fibers. One may consider a general case where one has a combination of these two kinds of action, involving two different Lie groups. For example one can consider a reduction from π to $\overline{\pi}$ under a Lie group action as was done in this paper. Then another reduction on $\Omega_c^{*,0}(J^\infty \overline{E})$ may be obtained when another Lie group acts on the fibers of $\overline{\pi}$ as in [1].

Acknowledgements I would like to thank Professor Ron Fulp for very useful remarks, comments and discussions, in addition to going over drafts of this paper.

References

[1] S. Al-Ashhab and R. Fulp, *Canonical Transformations of Local Functionals and sh-Lie Structures*, `arXiv:math-ph/0305033`.

[2] I.M. Anderson, *The Variational Bicomplex, Preprint*, Utah State University, 1996.

[3] I.M. Anderson and M. Fels, *Symmetry reduction of variational bicomplexes and the principle of symmetric criticality*, Amer. J. Math. **119** (1997), 609–670.

[4] G. Barnich, R. Fulp, T. Lada, and J. Stasheff, *The sh Lie structure of Poisson brackets in field theory*, Commun. Math. Phys. **191** (1998), 585–601.

[5] R. Bott and L.W. Tu, *Differential Forms in Algebraic Topology*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 82, Springer-Verlag, 1982.

[6] B.A. Dubrovin, *Geometry of Hamiltonian Evolutionary Systems*, Monographs and Textbooks in Physical Science, vol. 22, Bibliopolis, 1991.

[7] M. Henneaux and C. Teitelboim, *Quantization of gauge systems*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1992.

[8] T. Lada and M. Markl, *Strongly homotopy Lie algebras*, Comm. in algebra **23** (1995), 2147–2161.

[9] T. Lada and J.D. Stasheff, *Introduction of sh Lie algebras for physicists*, International J. Theor. Phys. **32** (1993), 1087–1103.

[10] I.S. Krasil'shchik and A.M. Vinogradov (eds.), *Symmetries and Conservaion Laws for differential equations of mathematical physics*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 182, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1998.

[11] P.J. Olver, *Applications of Lie Groups to Differential Equations*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 107, Springer-Verlag, 1986.

[12] D.J. Saunders, *The Geometry of Jet Bundles*, London Mathematical Lecture Notes, vol. 142, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1989.